

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,489	02/11/2004	Amritansh Raghav	418268867US	3229
45979 PERKINS CO	7590 07/09/200 IE LLP/MSFT	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 124	17	LAI, ANDREW		
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2416		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/776,489	RAGHAV ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ANDREW LAI	2416		

	ANDREW LAI	2416	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of sunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sla- st forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>\( \)\) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>\( \)\) They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>\( \)\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c)</li> <li>\( \)\) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> <li>\( \)\) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO v); er form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alle non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. \( \bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed to:  Claim(s) rejected: \( \bigcirc \) 22.32  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I 3. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/KWANG B. YAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3(a): NOTE: Applicant amended Independent claims 20, 26 and 33 by substantially narrowing the scopes of these claims with newly added limitations and thus brought up new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Particularly, the new issues are reflected in:

Claim 20: "... the telephony devices including a Session Initiation Protocol-enabled telephone and a time division multiplexing telephone, each telephony device having a unique identifier and hardware and software components that provide a user interface for controlling a telephony device, the clieant system being communicatively connected in a group..."

Claim 26: "... the client system having hardware and software components that provide a user interface for controlling the first telephony device, the first telephony device being selected from the group consisting of a Session Initiation Protocol-enabled telephone and a time division multiplexing telephone, ..."

Claim 33: "... each telephony device being selected from the group consisting of a Session Intiation Protocol-enabled telephone and a time division multiplexing telephone, ..., each client system having hardware and software components that provide a user interface for controlling the first telephony device, ... the controlling being based on input of a user through the user interface of the client system..."